

(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Common Fixed Point Theorem on Weak Reciprocally Continuous Mappings

B.Vijayabasker Reddy¹, V.Srinivas²

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar,

Telangana, India¹.

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Osmania University College, Saifabad, Hyderabad, Telangana India²

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this paper is to prove a unique common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space using the concepts of weaker form of weak reciprocally continuous and weakly compatible mappings.

KEYWORDS: Fixed point, self maps, reciprocally continuous S-weak reciprocally continuous mappings, weakly compatible mappings.

AMS (2000) Mathematics Classification: 54H25, 47H10

I.INTRODUCTION

The notion of the fuzzy metric spaces was initiated by Zadeh [12], in the recent past many authors developed the theory of fuzzy sets and applications. Grabic [5] obtained the Banach contraction principle in fuzzy version. Kramosil and mechalek introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space. Mishra et al [8] initiated the concept of compatible mappings which was finally generalized by Bijendra Singh and Jain [2] by introducing the notion of weakly compatible mappings in Fuzzy metric space. Pant [9] obtained the concept of reciprocally continuous and proves fixed point theorem. In this paper we prove a unique fixed point theorem using weak reciprocally continuous with S-compatible (or A-compatible) and weakly compatible mappings.

II. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: A binary operation $*:[0,1]\times[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called continuous t-norm if * satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) * is commutative and associative
- (ii) * is continuous
- (iii) $a*1=a \text{ for all } a \in [0,1]$
- (iv) $a*b \le c*d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Definition 2.2: A 3-tuple (X, M,*) is said to be Fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set,* is continuous t- norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0,\infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all x,y,z \in X, s,t>0

(FM-1) M(x,y,0)=0

- (FM-2) M(x,y,t)=1 for all t>0 if and only if x=y
- (FM-3) M(x,y,t) = M(y,x,t)
- (FM-4) $M(x,y,t) * M(y,z,s) \le M(x,z,t+s)$
- (FM-5) M(x,y,.): $[0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is left continuous
- (FM-6) $\lim M(x,y,t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty$



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

The function M(x, y,t) denote the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to 't'. We observe M(x,y,t)=1 when x=y for all t>0.

Example 2.3: (Induced fuzzy metric space): Let (X,d) be a metric space defined $a*b=min\{a,b\}$ for all $x,y\in X$ and t>0,

Then (X, M,*) is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric M induced by metric d the standard fuzzy metric. From the above example every metric induces a fuzzy metric but there exist no metric on X satisfying (a).

Definition 2.4: Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space then a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$, if for each $\in >0, t>0$ there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $M(x_n, x, t)>1-\epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$

Definition 2.5: Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space then a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X is said to be Cauchy sequence, if for each $\in \rangle 0, t > 0$ there exists $n 0 \in N$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n 0$

Definition 2.6: A fuzzy metric space (X, M,*) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent to a point in X.

Lemma 2.7: For all x, $y \in X$, M(x, y, .) is non decreasing.

Lemma 2.8 let (X,M,*) be a fuzzy metric space if there exists $k \in (0,1)$ such that $M(x,y,kt) \ge M(x,y,t)$ then x=y.

Proposition 2.9: In the fuzzy metric space (X, M,*) if $a*a \ge a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$ then $a*b = \min\{a,b\}$

Definition 2.10: Two self maps S and T of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) are said to be compatible mappings if lim

M(STx_n,TSx_n,t)=1, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.11: Two self maps S and T of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) are said to be

S-compatible mappings if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(STx_n,TTx_n,t)=1$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.12: Two self maps S and T of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) are said to be

Reciprocally continuous mappings if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(STx_n, Sz, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(TSx_n, Tz, t) = 1$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a

sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z\in X$.

Definition 2.13: Two self maps S and T of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) are said to be

S-weak reciprocally continuous mappings if $\lim M(STx_n,Sz,t)=1$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim M(STx_n,Sz,t)=1$.

 $Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.14: Two self maps S and T of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point. i.e if Su=Tu for some $u \in X$ then STu=TSu.



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

III. IN 2005 BIJENDRA SINGH ET AL [2] PROVED THE FOLLOWING THEOREM

3.1 Theorem(A): Let A, B, S and T are self maps of a complete Fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) with continuous t-norm * defined by a*b=min {a,b}, where a, b \in [0,1], satisfying the conditions (3.1.1) A(X) \subseteq T(X) and B(X) \subseteq S(X) (3.1.2) S and T are continuous (4.1.2) the pairs (A. S) and (B. T) compatible pairs of maps

(3.1.3) the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) compatible pairs of maps

 $(3.1.4) \left[M(Ax, By, kt) \right] \ge \min \left\{ M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), M(By, Sx, 2t), M(Ax, Ty, t) \right\}$

for all x, y in X, $k \in (0,1), t > 0$ (3.1.5) for all x, y in X lim M(x,y,t) $\rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z in X

Now the generalization of the Theorem 3.1 as follows

IV. MAIN RESULT

4.1 Theorem: Let A, B, S and T are self maps of a complete Fuzzy metric space (X,M,*) with continuous t-norm * defined by $a*b=min \{a,b\}$ where $a,b\in[0,1]$, satisfying the conditions $(4.1.1)A(X)\subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X)\subseteq S(X)$

(4.1.2) In the pairs (A, S), S-weak reciprocally continuous and S-compatible (or) A-weak reciprocally continuous and A-compatible (4.1.3) (B, T) weakly compatible

 $(4.1.4) \left[M(Ax, By, kt) \right] \ge \min \left\{ M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), M(By, Sx, 2t), M(Ax, Ty, t) \right\}$

where for all x,y in X, $k \in (0,1), t > 0$ (4.1.5) for all x, y in X lim $M(x,y,t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ then A, B,S and T have a unique common fixed point z in X

(4.1.6) Lemma: Let A,B,S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the conditions (4.1.1) and (4.1.4) then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ defined by $y_{2n}=Ax_{2n}=Tx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1}=Bx_{2n+1}=Sx_{2n+2}$ for $n \ge 0$, relative to four self maps is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Proof:

Let x_0 be any arbitrary point of X from the condition (4.1.1) there exists $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $Ax_0=Tx_1$ and $Bx_1=Sx_2$. Inductively we construct a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ and $\langle y_n \rangle$ in X such that $y_{2n}=Ax_{2n}=Tx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1}=Bx_{2n+1}=Sx_{2n+2}$ for $n \ge 0$.

By taking $x = x_{2n}$ $y_{=} x_{2n+1}$ in the inequality (4.1.4)



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

To prove $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence we prove $M(y_n, y_{n+m}, t) > 1 - \in \dots (2)$ is true for all $n \ge n_0$ and for every $m \in N$. Here we use induction method From(1) we have

$$\left[M(y_{n}, y_{n+1}, t)\right] \ge M(y_{n-1}, y_{n}, \frac{t}{k}) \ge M(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k}) \ge \dots \ge M(y_{1}, y_{2}, \frac{t}{k}) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

i.e for t>0 , $\epsilon{\in}(0,1) \text{we can choose } n_0{\in}N$ such that $M(y_n,\!y_{n{+}1},\!t){>}1{-}\epsilon$

Thus (2) is true for m=1.

soppose (2) is true for m then we shell show that it is also true for m+1

using the definition of fuzzy metric space (1) and(2) we have

 $M(y_{n}, y_{n+m}, t) \ge \min \{ M(y_{n}, y_{n+m}, t/2), M(y_{n+m}, y_{n+m+1}, t/2) \} > 1 - \varepsilon$

Hence (2) is true for m+1. Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

By completeness of $(X,M,*), \{y_n\}$ converges to some point z in X

Therefore Ax_{2n} , $\rightarrow z$, $Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$, $Bx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$ $Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1:

From the Lemma we have $Ax_{2n} \rightarrow z$, $Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$, $Bx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$, $Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Case (i): S-weak reciprocally continuous implies $\lim SAx_n \rightarrow Sz$

Since S-compatibility $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(SAx_{2n}, AAx_{2n}, t) = 1$ implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} SAx_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} AAx_{2n} = Sz$

To prove Sz=z

Put $x=Ax_{2n}$, $y=x_{2n+1}$ in the inequality (4.1.4)



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

$$\begin{bmatrix} M(AAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \begin{cases} M(SAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(AAx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t), \\ M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Bx_{2n+1}, SAx_{2n}, 2t), M(AAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \end{cases} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Sz, z, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(Sz, z, t), M(Sz, Sz, t), M(z, z, t), M(z, Sz, 2t), M(Sz, z, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Sz, z, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge M(Sz, z, t) \\ Sz = z \\ To prove Az = z \quad put x = z, y = x_{2n+1} in the inequality (4.1.4) \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Az, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \left\{ \frac{M(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \\ M(Bx_{2n+1}, Sz, 2t), M(Az, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Az, z, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \left\{ M(z, z, t), M(Az, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(z, z, 2t), M(Az, z, t) \right\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Az, z, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge M(Az, z, t) \\ M(Bx_{2n+1}, Sz, 2t), M(Az, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Az, z, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge M(Az, z, t) \\ M(Az, z, t) \end{bmatrix} \ge M(Az, z, t) \\ Az = z \\ \therefore Az = Sz = z \\ From the condition A(X) \subseteq T(X) implies there exists u \in X such that Tu=Az=z \\ To prove Bu=z \quad put x = z, y=u in the inequality (4.1.4) \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(Sz, Tu, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bu, Tu, t), M(Bu, Sz, 2t), M(Az, Tu, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bu, z, t), M(Bu, z, zt), M(z, z, t), \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Az, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bz, Tz, t), M(Bz, Zz), M(Az, Tz, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bz, Tz, t), M(Bz, Tz, t), M(Az, Tz, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bz, Tz, t), M(Bz, Zz), M(Az, Tz, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bz, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bz, Zz), M(z, Zz, t), M(Az, Tz, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bz, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(Sz, Tz, t), M(Az, Sz, t), M(Bz, Zz), M(Z, Bz, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bz, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, Bz, t), M(Bz, Bz, t), M(Bz, Zz), M(Z, Bz, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bz, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, Bz, t), M(Bz, Bz, t), M(Bz, Zz), M(Z, Bz, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bz, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, Bz, t), M(Bz, Bz, t), M(Bz, Zz), M(Z, Bz, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bz, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, Bz, t), M(Bz, Bz, t), M(Bz, Zz), M(Z, Bz, t) \} \\ \begin{bmatrix} Bz = z \\ \vdots \\ Bz = z \\ \vdots \\ Bz = z \\ \vdots \\ Bz = z \\ Case (ii) : Since the pair (A,S) is A-weak reciprocally continuous which implies \\ \begin{bmatrix} Bz = Az \\ Bz = Z \\ Bz = Z \\ Bz = Z \\ Case (ii)$$

therefore $\lim_{n \to \infty} A S x_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} S S x_{2n} = A z$ To prove Az=z put x=Sx_{2n},y= x_{2n+1} in the inequality (4.1.4)



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

$$\begin{bmatrix} M(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \begin{cases} M(SSx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}, t), \\ M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), M(Bx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n}, 2t), M(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \end{cases} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Az, z, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(Az, z, t), M(Az, Az, t), M(z, z, t), M(z, Az, 2t), M(Az, z, t)\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Az, z, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge M(Az, z, t) \\ Az = z \\ \text{Since the condition } A(X) \subseteq T(X) \text{ implies there exists } u \in X \text{ such that } Tu=Az=z \\ To prove Bu=Z \\ Put x = x_{ny}=u \text{ in the inequality } (4.14) \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Az_{2n}, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), M(Bu, Tu, t), M(Bu, Sx_{2n}, 2t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tu, t)\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(Bu, z, t), M(Bu, z, 2t), M(z, z, t)\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge M(Bu, z, t) \\ Bu = z \\ \text{Since the pair } (B,T) \text{ is weakly compatible, BTu=TBu gives Bz=Tz. \\ To prove Bu=Z \\ put x = x_{ny}=u \text{ in the inequality } (4.1.4) \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(Ax_{2n}, Bz, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, Bz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Bz, Bz, t), M(Bz, Tz, t), M(Bz, Sx_{2n}, 2t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tz, t)\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, Bz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Bz, Bz, t), M(Bz, Zz, t), M(z_{2n}, Tz, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tz, t)\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bu, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, Bz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Bz, Bz, t), M(Bz, Zz, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Tz, t)\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bz, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge \min \{M(z, Bz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Bz, Bz, t), M(Bz, Zz, t), M(z, Bz, t)\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bz, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge M(Bz, z, t) \\ Bz = z \\ \therefore Bz = Tz = z \\ \text{Since the condition B(X)} \subseteq S(X) \text{ implies there exists } v \in X \text{ such that } Sv=Bz=Z \\ \text{The pair } (A_S) \text{ is A-compatibility implies } \lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}, t) = 1 \lim_{n \to \infty} ASx_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} SSx_{2n} \\ \therefore Az = Sz = z. \\ \text{Since Az=Bz=Sz=Tz=z \\ \text{Since Az=Bz=Sz=Tz=z } \text{ implies Z is a common fixed point of A,B,S and T. \\ \\ \text{Uriqueness:} \\ tet v = a \text{ another common fixed point of above self maps then we have Aw=Bw=Sw=Tw=W \\ W(Az, Bw, kt) \end{bmatrix} = \min \{M(Sz, Tw, t), M(Az, Sw, t), M(Bw, Tw, t), M(Bw, Sz, 2t), M(Az, Tw, t)\} \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} M(z, Bw, kt) \end{bmatrix} \ge M(z, Bw, t) \\ \\ \text{Hence } z = w. \\ \\ \text{4.1.6 Example: Let X = [-1, 1], M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)} \\ \text{ where } d(x, y)$$



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

 $Ax = Bx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{10} & \text{if } -1 < x < \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } \frac{1}{6} \le x < 1 \end{cases} \qquad Sx = Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } -1 < x < \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{3} - x & \text{if } \frac{1}{6} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$

Then A(X) =B(X)= $\left\{\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{6}\right\}$ while S(X) =T(X) = $\left\{\frac{1}{8} \cup \left[-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}\right]\right\}$ so that the conditions

 $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ are satisfied.

take a sequence $x_n = \left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for $n \ge 1$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = 1/6$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} ASx_n = 1/8$ also $SAx_n = 1/6$,

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(SAx_n, AAx_n, t) = 1 \text{ implies } S \text{-compatible}$

 $\lim SAx_{n \to} S(1/6)$ implies S-weakly reciprocally continuous

but so that $\lim M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) \neq 1$.

In the pairs (A,S), S-weakly reciprocally continuous and S-compatible (B, T) are weakly compatible as they commute at coincident point 1/6.

Also note that none of the mappings are continuous. Hence 1/6 is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

V. CONCLUSION

Unique fixed point exists for Theorem 4.1 where the none of the mappings are continuous. And also from the Example 4.1.6 we have observed that the pair of S-compatible Mappings is need not be compatible mappings.

REFERENCES

[3] Cho Y J, Pathak H K, Kang S M & Jung J S, "Common fixed point of compatible maps of type β on fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy sets and Systems, 93, pp. 99-111, 1998.

[4] George A, Veeramani P, "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64, pp.395-399,1994.

[5] Grabiec M," Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems ,27, pp.385-389,1998.

[6] Jungck G, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2)", Internet. J.Math & Math. Sci., pp. 285-389,1988.

[7] Kutukcu S, Sharma S & Tokgoz H A, "A fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces", Int. J.Math. Analysis, 1(18), pp. 861-872,2007.

[8] Mishra S N, Sharma N & Singh S L, "Common fixed points of mappings on fuzzy metric spaces", Internet J.Math & Math .Sci, 17, pp.253-258, 1994.

[9] Pant R P & Jha K, Aremarkon, "Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space", J.Fuzzy Math.12 (2), 4 pp.33-437,2004.

[10] Sharma S," Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 127, pp.345-352,2002.

[11] Sisodia K S, Rathore M S, & Deepak Singh," A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces", Vol.5, No.17, pp. 819-826,2011.

[12] Zadeh L A,"Fuzzy Sets", Information and Control,8, pp.338-353,1965.

^[1] Balasubramaniam P, Murali Sankar S & Pant R P, "Common fixed point of four mappings in fuzzy metric space", J.Fuzzy Math. 10(2), pp.379-384,2002.

^[2] Bijendra Singh and Chauhan, "Common fixed points of compatible mapps in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy sets and systems, 115, pp.471-475, 2005.